20180216-0014 FERC PDF (Unofficial) 02/14/2010 P 15-554

## Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

tepresentatives OFFICE OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

**70**組ashington, **海**C 20515 **2018 FEB** [4 P b: 35

February 8, 2018

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY CONTRISSION

The Honorable Kevin McIntyre Chairman Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 1st St. NE, Room 11H Washington, DC 20426

Dear Chairman McIntyre,

We write today to respectfully request that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) grant rehearings on the Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) and Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP) projects that were approved on October 13, 2017 by a vote of 2-1 when the Commission did not have its full complement of commissioners.

Given FERC's independent nature and its wide breadth of scientific and technical expertise, we respect the rulemaking process that is used by the Commission to reach decisions on the viability of a pipeline project. However, we do believe that such petitions should receive the review of a fully staffed Commission. Setting aside the substantive merits of these specific projects, on which we do not opine in this letter, it is important to ensure that the decisions reached are truly representative of FERC's position. At the time of the October votes on the Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) and Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP), only three of the Commission's five commissioner positions had been filled, and both projects were advanced by a single vote. Now that all five commissioners have been appointed, confirmed by the U.S. Senate, and installed, we believe that the ACP and MVP projects warrant rehearings.

In a January 5<sup>th</sup> letter to the Commission, our colleague Senator Tim Kaine mentioned tolling orders and questioned the part they would play in the rehearing processes for the ACP and MVP projects. In light of FERC's December 11, 2017 tolling order issued following a number of ACP rehearing requests, we would like to request further information on the matter as well. While this tolling order allows FERC to continue past the 30-day deadline before producing a response on these rehearing requests, the order does not inherently halt the ACP's construction process while deliberation and legal reviews continue. Given the current legal debate surrounding tolling orders, we respectfully request further insight from you as to whether or not, in your view, a reverse of FERC's opinion on the ACP and MVP projects through a rehearing would even have any effect on the pipelines' construction.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert C. "Bobby" Scott Member of Congress A. Ponald M'Earlin

A. Donald McEachin Member of Congress

2018 - 00020 PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

20180216-0014 FERC PDF (Unofficial)	02/14/2018
Document Content(s)	
14824236.tif	